


IN THE CITY CIVIL COURT AT CHENNAI**Present : Thiru.N.Kamaraj,M.L.,****XI Assistant Judge****Thursday, the 19th day of January 2023****I.A.No. 3/2022****in****O.S.No. 8047/2022**

Parvathy, D/o.Venugopal, Hindu, aged about 29 years, residing at No.2, Reddy Apartment, Sterling Road, 2nd Cross Street, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600 034
.... Applicant/Plaintiff

-Vs -

1. Subash Chandra Bose, residing at Flat No.803, 8th Floor, No.75, Arcot Road, Gatala Kondandapani Legacy, Saligramam, Chennai – 600 093.
2. J.Seldon George, residing at Door No.A7, 2nd Floor, Macc Surya Apartment, Susaiya Nagar, Iyyapanthangal, Chennai – 600 077.
3. Google India Private Limited, having office at No.3, RMZ Infinity, Tower E, 3rd, 4th and 5th Floor, Old Madras Road, Bangalore – 560 016.
4. Google LLC, having office at 1600, Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, Santa Clara County, California – 94043, United States of America.
- 5.Thanthi TV, having office at No.143, No.1C, RMZ Millenia Business Park, 1st Floor, Dr.MGR Road, Kandanchavadi, Chennai – 600 096.
6. News 18, having office at 1st Floor, Empire Complex, 414 – Senapati Bapat Marg, Lower Parel, Mumbai – 400 013, Maharashtra.

TRUE COPY
m


7. One.in Digitech Media Private Limited, having office at No.8, Katha No.1149/1108, Vakil Marina Layout 2, Kodichikkanahalli, Bangalore, Karnataka – 560 076.

8. Times of India, having office at Editorial Office, Times Center, 1st Floor, Film City, Sector 16A, Noida – 201 301.

9. Meta Platforms, INC, having office at 1601- Willow Road, Menlo Park, California – 94025, Unites States of America.

10. Ashok Kumar, an Unknown persons/Entities, Collectively personified, in India. Respondents/Defendants

Petition filed Under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of CPC to grant an order of ad interim injunction directing the respondents and other unknown persons and entities collectively personified as 10th respondent, their men, agents, representatives, correspondents, officers, employees and/or any other person, entity in print or electronic media or via internet or otherwise to remove all the articles already published at the instance of the 1st and the 2nd respondents and all such publication or articles or reports or statements specified in the petition schedule which directly or indirectly is about the applicant which is in any manner derogatory or a defamation of the applicant's reputation or which in any manner cause disrepute to the applicant or her work or maligns the applicant or her work in any manner whatsoever.



TRUE COPY


This petition came up before me for final hearing on 21.12.2022 in the presence of M/s.D.R.Law Chambers, Dhanaram Ramachandran, R.Devei, S.Muthuselvan, Sandhya, P.Shyam Rohith and P.Prajeeth learned Counsel for the Applicant/Plaintiff and M/s.Perumbulovil Radhakrishnan, G.Thanigaivel and M.Dharani Dharan learned Counsel for the 5th Respondent/Defendant and the 1st to 4th & 6th to 10th respondents called absent and set exparte and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and having stood over for consideration, this court doth order and decreetal order as follows:

DECREETAL ORDER

1. that the petition be and the same is hereby allowed by granting Temporary injunction till the disposal of this suit, directing the respondents and other unknown persons and entities collectively personified as 10th respondent, their men, agents, representatives, correspondents, officers, employees and /or any other person, entity in print or electronic media or via internet or otherwise, to remove all the articles already published at the instance of the 1st and 2nd respondents and all such publication or articles or reports or statements specified in the petition schedule which directly or indirectly is about the applicant which is in any manner derogatory or a defamation of the applicant's reputation or which in any manner cause disrepute to the applicant or her work or maligns the applicant or her work in any manner whatsoever.

TRUE COPY

ms



2. that there be no costs.

PETITION SCHEDULE

List of Defamatory Publications

p) <https://chennaiemes.in/she-slapped-spit-on-me-youth-accused-famous-tamil-actress/> - titled as "she slapped and spit on me -Youth accused famous Tamil Actress"

q) <https://tamil.oneindia.com/news/chennai//a-complaint-against-actress-parvathy-nair-in-chennai-police-484691.html> - title as "midnight parthuten thirudanakitanga nadigai parvathy meedhan pugar unmaya?" which translates to "I saw what happened at midnight so they assaulted me and made me a theif whether the complaint against Parvathy is true?"

r) <https://www.news18.com//news/movies/actress-parvathi-nair-accused-of-slapping-her-domestic-help-framing-him-for-theft-6359311.html> title as "Articles Partvathi Nair Accused of Slapping her Domestic Help. Framing him for theft".

s) <https://youtube.be/DQGPq2q01XA> titled Breaking Usane mere munh pe thooka aur usake BF which translates to "She spit on my face and BF"

t) <https://www.facebook.com/100064378371998/posts/pfbid02MR6BdbMzWpRzKjXve5DBDSP4eMUGER629AVRGhCwoYAtkMdU15XVn52YPboaGFml/> - titled as "nalliravu madhu virundil nadandhadai parthutten nu adichar thuppinar: Ajith pada nadigai meedhu vaalibar pugar" which translates as "I saw what happened in the midnight alcohol party, so she assaulted and spit on me; Ajith Movie Actress accused by youth"

u) <https://www.facebook.com/100075979610881/posts/pfbid09skVM8Lq3jr36uv1LAPqHo6miSXDyHrx6qiGWidNys86Q9cF5mcTe9nF6kRdMCI/> - titled as "Night party la adha pathutten adha solla koodhadhunu echil thuppi adichi thiruttu pattam kattitanga" which translates to "I saw that in night party and to stop me from revealing that they spit me and accuse me of theft"

v) <https://www.facebook.com/26781952138/postspfbid0JDFc5xbjtch7y2UWMrB9XKqHHAnSLF4U15uvzVyAGGpjpKoSWK1oGtCDzGWL9mtNI/> titled as

TRUE COPY



“Parvathi Nari Accused of slapping and mentally harassing her domestic help : Reports”

w) <https://www.facebook.com/897583467016785/postsppfbid037x2qLAUAhZwWjLEsoD89qu3MNBzm1hXa7k4ibLwtq2i7Pj3g7Qn17C7rDAWpMZEXI/> - titled “nadigai Parvathy nair echil thuppu adichu asingapaduthinar – munnal oozhiyar pugar” translates to “Actress Parvathy Nair spit on me and hit and abused me – former employee alleges”

x) <https://youtu.be./eBPAI53wTRw> titled as “en meedhu echil thuppiu adichi thiruttu pattam kattitanga Parvathy nair meedhu ilaingar pugar” translates to “she spit on me, assaulted and accused me of theft youth accuses Parvathi Nair”

y) <https://www.cinemapettai.com/parvathi-nair-accused-the-servant-of-theft> - titled as “night nadandhatai appadiye pottu kodutha velaikarar.. pathariya Parvathi nair seitha velai” translates to “Employee reveals the incidents of the night., scared parvathy nair did this”

z) https://tamil.behindtalkies.com/parvathy-nair-servant/amp/?utm_source=JioNews&utm_medium=referral&utm_campaign=Jionews - titled as “iravu party il adhai parthuten, adha solla koodhanu en mela thiruttu pattam potanga – Parvathy nair in paniyalar vedhanai” translates to I saw that in the night party, they accuse me of theft to cover that up, Parvathy Nair’s employee in distress”


aa) <https://chennaimemes.in/she-slapped-spit-on-me-youth-accused-famous-tamil-actress/> titled “She slapped me and spit on me – Youth accused famous Tamil Actress”.

bb) <https://chennaimemes.in/she-slapped-spit-on-me-youth-accused-famous-tamil-actress/> titled “Crime Time /Adithu Asingapaduthia Nadigai – Udhaviyalar Bageer Pugar / Parvathi Nair” translates to “Crime time / Actress / assaulted and abused – Helper’s shocking complaint / Parvathi Nair”

cc) <https://fb.watch/gNSGY5yfIR/> titled as “Naangalum Manusha Than: Pageer Video Veliyitta Make up artist” translates to “We also Human Beings : Makeup artists releases shocking video”

dd) <https://youtube.com/shorts/XouLw14HoPo?feature=share> – titled as “Make up a remove panna solli kathinanga – makeup artist Seldon about Parvathi Nair


TRUE COPY
M



issue” translates to “she shouted at me to remove makeup – makeup artist Seldon about Parvathi Nair issue”.

Given under my hand and seal of this court, this the 19th day of January 2023.


XI Assistant Judge



TRUE COPY
ng

CERTIFIED TO BE
A TRUE COPY
 31/3/23
Superintendent of Copyist
City Civil Court, Chennai

CA-5247/23

IA-3/22

CITY CIVIL COURT, CHENNAI

O.S. 8047 of 20 22

Application made on 22/01/23

Stamps Called for on 02/03/23

Stamps deposited on 02/03/23

Addl. Stamps Called for on -

Addl. Stamps deposited on -

Copy made ready on 03/03/23

Copy delivered on 03/03/23

S14
Copyist Superintendent

D. R. Law chambers

IN THE CITY CIVIL COURT AT CHENNAI**Present : Thiru.N.Kamaraj,M.L.,****XI Assistant Judge****Thursday, the 19th day of January 2023****I.A.No. 3/2022****in****O.S.No. 8047/2022**Parvathy,
D/o.Venugopal


.... Applicant/Plaintiff

-Vs -

1. Subash Chandra Bose,
 2. J. Seldon George
 3. Google India Private Limited
 4. Google LLC
 - 5.Thanthi TV
 6. News 18
 7. One.in Dignitech Media Private Limited
 8. Times of India
 9. Meta Platforms, INC
 10. Ashok Kumar,
- Unknown persons/Entities,
-
- India.

.... Respondents/Defendants

This petition came up before me for final hearing on 21.12.2022 in the presence of M/s.D.R.Law Chambers, Dhanaram Ramachandran, R.Devei, S.Muthuselvan, Sandhya, P.Shyam Rohith and P.Prajeeth learned Counsel for the Applicant/Plaintiff and M/s.Perumbulovil Radhakrishnan, G.Thanigaivel and M.Dharani Dharan learned Counsel for the 5th Respondent/Defendant and the 1st to 4th & 6th to 10th respondents called absent and set exparte and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and having stood over for consideration, this court delivers the following:-

**TRUE COPY**


ORDER

Petition filed Under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of CPC to grant an order of ad interim injunction directing the respondents and other unknown persons and entities collectively personified as 10th respondent, their men, agents, representatives, correspondents, officers, employees and/or any other person, entity in print or electronic media or via internet or otherwise to remove all the articles already published at the instance of the 1st and the 2nd respondents and all such publication or articles or reports or statements specified in the petition schedule which directly or indirectly is about the applicant which is in any manner derogatory or a defamation of the applicant's reputation or which in any manner cause disrepute to the applicant or her work or maligns the applicant or her work in any manner whatsoever.

2. Brief averments made in the petition are as follows:

The Applicant/plaintiff submit that in original suit, for Permanent injunction and Mandatory injunction. The above suit is filed on account of the willful and conscious action of the respondents 1 and 2 in defaming the applicant vide scandalous statements made by them on visual media not limited to social media and print media alleging that the applicant had abused the respondents 1 and 2 by assaulting the 1st respondent and verbally abusing the 2nd respondent thus causing significant harm to the goodwill and reputation of the Applicant in the eyes of her peers and general public by smearing her goodwill and portraying her in bad light. The facts leading to the present suit are as follows. She is a model and actress by profession and she has worked in several movies over a period of time. Over the course of her career she had managed to attain fame and good reputation in respect of her professional works. She is well known for her public roles in several movies in southern languages. The 1st respondent was a part time helper and a pet sitter who used to work for the applicant on the

aj
TRUE COPY
aj




weekends. The applicant on 18.10.2022, noticed her valuables such as wristwatches, phone, laptop and camera missing and she was quite shocked. Since she had serious suspicion against the 1st respondent and others, she lodged a complaint dated 20.10.2022 and secured a FIR dated 20.10.2022 bearing No.304 before the Nungambakkam Police Station. In the meantime, 1st respondent being offended with the complaint lodged, allegedly made a counter complaint against the applicant. In addition he also made a statement to the press and other social media channels and they were widely circulated. Some of the many such defamatory news report are as follows:-

- a) <https://chennaimemes.in/she-slapped-spit-on-me-youth-accused-famous-tamil-actress/> - titled as "she slapped and spit on me -Youth accused famous Tamil Actress"
- b) <https://tamil.oneindia.com/news/chennai//a-complaint-against-actress-parvathy-nair-in-chennai-police-484691.html> - title as "midnight parthuten thirudanakitanga nadigai parvathy meedhan pugar unmaya?" which translates to "I saw what happened at midnight so they assaulted me and made me a thief whether the complaint against Parvathy is true?"
- c) <https://www.news18.com//news/movies/actress-parvathi-nair-accused-of-slapping-her-domestic-help-framing-him-for-theft-6359311.html> title as "Articles Partvathi Nair Accused of Slapping her Domestic Help. Framing him for theft".
- d) <https://youtube.be/DQGPq2q01XA> titled Breaking Usane mere munh pe thooka aur usake BF which translates to "She spit on my face and BF"
- d) <https://www.facebook.com/100064378371998/posts/pfbid02MR6BdbMzWpRzKjXve5DBDSP4eMUGER629AVRGhCwoYAtkMdU15XVn52YPboaGFml/> - titled as "nalliravu madhu virundil nadandhadai parthutten nu adichar thuppinar: Ajith pada nadigai meedhu vaalibar pugar" which translates as "I saw what happened in the midnight alcohol party, so she assaulted and spit on me; Ajith Movie Actress accused by youth"

TRUE COPY

13



f) <https://www.facebook.com/100075979610881/posts/pfbid09skVM8Lqa3jr36uv1LAPqHo6miSXDyHrx6qiGWidtNys86Q9cF5mcTe9nF6kRdMCI/> - titled as "Night party la adha pathutten adha solla koodhadhunu echil thuppi adichi thiruttu pattam kattitanga" which translates to "I saw that in night party and to stop me from revealing that they spit me and accuse me of theft"

g) <https://www.facebook.com/26781952138/postspfbid0JDFc5xbjtch7y2UWMrB9XKqHHAnSLF4U15uvzVyAGGpjpKoSWK1oGtCDzGWL9mtNI/> titled as "Parvathi Nari Accused of slapping and mentally harassing her domestic help : Reports"

h) <https://www.facebook.com/897583467016785/postspfbid037x2qLAUAhZwWjLEsoD89qu3MNBzm1hXa7k4ibLwtq2i7Pj3g7Qn17C7rDAWpMZEXI/> - titled "nadigai Parvathy nair echil thuppu adichu asingapaduthinar - munnal oozhiyar pugar" translates to "Actress Parvathy Nair spit on me and hit and abused me - former employee alleges"

i) <https://youtu.be/eBPAI53wTRw> titled as "en meedhu echil thuppiu adichi thiruttu pattam kattitanga Parvathy nair meedhu ilaingar pugar" translates to "she spit on me, assaulted and accused me of theft youth accuses Parvathi Nair"

j) <https://www.cinemapettai.com/parvathi-nair-accused-the-servant-of-theft> - titled as "night nadandhatai appadiye pottu kodutha velaikarar.. pathariya Parvathi nair seitha velai" translates to "Employee reveals the incidents of the night., scared parvathy nair did this"


k) https://tamil.behindtalkies.com/parvathy-nair-servant/amputm_source=JioNews&utm_medium=referral&utm_campaign=Jionews - titled as "iravu party il adhai parthuten, adha solla koodhanu en mela thiruttu pattam potanga - Parvathy nair in panyalar vedhanai" translates to I saw that in the night party, they accuse me of theft to cover that up, Parvathy Nair's employee in distress"

i) <https://chennai memes.in/she-slpped-spit-on-me-youth-accused-famous-tamil-actress/> titled "Crime Time /Adithu Asingapaduthia Nadigai - Udhaviyalar

of

TRUE COPY

ng



Bageer Pugar / Parvathi Nair” translates to “Crime time / Actress / assaulted and abused – Helper’s shocking complaint / Parvathi Nair”

The interview of the 1st respondent is filled with inconsistent and false allegation reporting fake incidents. From the above links, title and content, it could be seen that the 1st respondent went all out in defaming the applicant by making numerous allegation that speaks about her character and goodwill. The 2nd respondent taking advantage of the situation at hand, just to gain fame, made a statement in his interview portraying the applicant as arrogant and non-cooperative. The 2nd respondent is a makeup artist and the applicant had worked with him for a shoot on 05.09.2022. Upon lapse of considerable amount of time, the 2nd respondent had no business to make such remarks against the applicant. Further the 2nd respondent had also published a video in his interview taken behind the scenes which absolutely unauthorized and invades on the privacy of the applicant. The applicant was dressed for a photoshoot and filming her behind the scenes without her permission is totally malafide. The 2nd respondent was neither permitted nor authorized to take such video or publish the same in social media. With that being the case, the sole intent of the 2nd respondent is to gain fame by defaming the applicant making use of the issue at hand. Few of such publication of interview is available in the following link

a) <https://fb.watch/gNSGY5yfIR/> titled as “Naangalum Manusha Than: Pageer Video Veliyitta Make up artist” translates to “We also Human Beings : Makeup artists releases shocking video”

b) <https://youtube.com/shorts/XouLw14HoPo?feature=share> – titled as “Make up a remove panna solli kathinanga – makeup artist Seldon about Parvathi Nair issue” translates to “she shouted at me to remove makeup – makeup artist Seldon about Parvathi Nair issue”

TRUE COPY
NS



The applicant is a Young Woman and the suggestive remarks made in the publications are affecting her personal and professional life. From the content of the respondents 1 and 2, the applicant is portrayed as an unprofessional and arrogant person which ultimately harms her career. The respondents 1 and 2 through the other respondents have already published the defamatory remarks and also through several other third parties and cinema websites. The general public who comment on these posts make hate comments which ultimately would go to show the depth of damage caused to the applicant. The applicant has already filed Private Complaint under Sec.200 Cr.P.C. for offences under Sec.499 and 500 IPC, on the file of Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore and the same is pending. Further the Applicant has also lodged a complaint before the National Commission for Women on 14.11.2022 and the same is still pending too. Only by blocking the URLs through can the continuing acts of malicious defamation against her be arrested. It is stated that the Applicant has a genuine apprehension that the making of defamatory statements against her will continue and therefore, the present action is being filed in qua timet against unknown persons and entities, collectively referred to as Ashok Kumar i.e. the 10th respondent to effectively safeguard the Applicant's right to his reputation and arrest the consistent barrage of malicious insults directed against him by such persons who publish the defamatory content of respondents 1 and 2. Despite her best efforts to clarify her stand, the publications are being circulated on a daily basis and every day some new publications are being made at the behest of the 1st and 2nd respondents. But on the other hand, if no such order is made, the applicant will continue to be portrayed in a bad light despite her innocence. The balance of convenience also lies in favour of the applicant. By making such an order of ad interim injunction, the respondents will be put to no loss or prejudice but on the other hand, the applicant will be put through media trial and her reputation will continue to suffer till such publications are removed. The balance of convenience also lies in favour of the applicant. Hence this petition.

aj

TRUE COPY

ms




3. The brief averments of the Counter filed by respondent is as follows:

The 5th respondent/5th defendant stated that he is the Authorized Signatory and Chief Operating Officer of Metronation Chennai Television (P) Ltd., owing and controlling Thanthi TV and is aware of the facts of the case. The statement/interview of the plaintiff when she gave a complaint at the Police Station against her employee for having stolen Lap-top, jewels etc. from her home was recorded and televised on Thanthi TV. They had reproduced the statement of the plaintiff without mincing any words. It is the policy of Thanthi TV to give a full coverage to the truth so that the public are aware of the truth and not a doctored version of the news. It is relevant to state that the plaintiff had also voluntarily given the interview which was recorded and televised. The 1st defendant lodged a complaint before the Commissioner of Police denying the allegations of theft and blackmail as alleged by the plaintiff. The said 1st defendant also brought as alleged by the plaintiff. The said 1st defendant also brought to light the allegations that the 1st defendant's father had called the plaintiff and threatened her, nevertheless in the recorded interview the first defendant stated that his father had deceased more than 5 years ago. No scandalous statement was either recorded or televised except the statements which were a mere narration of factual events. This respondent is not aware of the terms of employment between the plaintiff and 1st defendant and the allegations of the incident of theft on 18.10.2022 or on such other date are material which this respondent has learnt from the FIR lodged with the police and the statement given by the plaintiff in her interview on 20.10.2022. This respondent is neither liable for the said social media news nor in this respondent aware of the defamatory nature of the news on the social medial platforms. The report alleged in Para 4 : (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l) and (m) having nothing to do with the 5th respondent in any manner, as the said material are neither uploaded nor published by the 5th respondent. Thanthi TV is a mature and nationally reliable news channel dispensing the truth and without the clutter

Big

TRUE COPY

ms



of falsehood, frills and fancies. The allegations in para 7 are also material pertaining to social media and You Tube. The allegations in para 8 pertain to the relationship between respondent 1 and respondent 2 of which, the 5th respondent has no information and is unwilling to make any amount. The allegation in para 9 pertain to the unleashing of "defamatory" content by respondents 1 and 2, and puts the petitioner to strict proof of the allegations since the petitioner states that interviews were published without verifying basic facts without adhering to the principles of journalism. The allegations in para 10, 11 and 12 spell out the reputation she developed and that false information is being spread and the same does not involve the 5th respondent in any way, and no allegations are made against this 5th respondent. This respondent also states that an injunction cannot issue against an entity when no allegation complained of is directed against the said respondent in this case the 5th respondent. As a responsible national television news channel with an unfettered responsibility to truth and discrimination of news and affairs it would be contrary to law to injunct the 5th respondent from publishing news and material that are true and factual . The balance of convenience complained by the plaintiff cannot curtail the freedom of speech guaranteed in Article 19 of Constitution. Any preventive relief of injunction granted at the discretion of the Court according to Section 36 of the Specific Relief Act ought to be that which comes within the ambit of Section 38 of the said Act.

4. Point for consideration :-

Whether this petition can be allowed or not ?

5. The petitioner counsel submitted that she is a model and actress by profession and she has worked in several movies over a period of time. Over the course of her career she had managed to attain fame and good reputation in respect of her professional works. She is well known for her public roles in

TRUE COPY



several movies in southern languages. The applicant on 18.10.2022, noticed her valuables such as wristwatches, phone, laptop and camera missing and she lodged a complaint against the 1st respondent and others dated 20.10.2022 and secured a FIR dated 20.10.2022 bearing No.304 before the Nungambakkam Police Station. The 1st respondent lodged a counter complaint against the petitioner and in addition he also made a statement to the press and other social media channels and they were widely circulated with defamatory news report. The applicant was dressed for a photoshoot and filming her behind the scenes without her permission is totally malafide. The 2nd respondent was neither permitted nor authorized to take such video or publish the same in social media. The respondents 1 and 2 through the other respondents have already published the defamatory remarks and also through several other third parties and cinema websites. The applicant has already filed Private Complaint under Sec.200 Cr.P.C. for offences under Sec.499 and 500 IPC, on the file of Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore and the same is pending. Further the Applicant has also lodged a complaint before the National Commission for Women on 14.11.2022 and the same is still pending too and by making such an order of ad interim injunction, the respondents will be put to no loss or prejudice but on the other hand, the applicant will be put through media trial and her reputation will continue to suffer till such publications are removed.

6. On the other hand, the respondent counsel submitted that it is the policy of Thanthi TV to give a full coverage to the truth so that the public are aware of the truth and not a doctored version of the news. It is relevant to state that the plaintiff had also voluntarily given the interview which was recorded and televised. The report alleged in Para 4 : (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l) and (m) having nothing to do with the 5th respondent in any manner, as the said material are neither uploaded nor published by the 5th respondent. Thanthi TV is a mature and nationally reliable news channel dispensing the truth and

TRUE COPY




without the clutter of falsehood, frills and fancies and false information is being spread and the same does not involve the 5th respondent in any way, and no allegations are made against this 5th respondent.

7. In fact, both rival submissions, the petitioner took the plea that, the 1st and 2nd respondents and others also made a statement to the press and other social media channels and they were widely circulated with defamatory news report through some websites. On the other hand, the respondent took plea that, the report alleged in Para 4 : (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), (l) and (m) having nothing to do with the 5th respondent in any manner, as the said material are neither uploaded nor published by the 5th respondent. In this situation only examination of this said websites links and put the documents produced by the petitioner and respondents into cross examination, is the only way to bring the facts into light.

8. Considering over all views, the petitioner/plaintiff submit sufficient documents to prove their prayer along with plaint, but not marked in this petition. At this stage, we can very well understand the real facts on perusal of those documents. Even the contested 5th respondent also not denying the averments narrated by the petitioner in her affidavit. Other respondents simply avoid their presence before this court even after serving sufficient notice. Hence, this court pushed to take adverse inference against those respondents, particularly against 10th respondent. Since the petitioner is a lady, her future life will be darkness if any false news spread against her as stated in here petition. So it is just and necessary to allow this application for the interest of justice. The respondents are not prejudiced on allowing this application. On the other hand the petitioner will be put to mental agony, on dismissing this application. Hence the prima facie and balance of convenience is in favour of the petitioner herein. In view of the above discussion and all the ingredients necessary for grant of Interim Injunction


TRUE COPY
ms




are made out in favour of the petitioner.


In the result, this petition is allowed by granting Temporary injunction till the disposal of this suit, directing the respondents and other unknown persons and entities collectively personified as 10th respondent, their men, agents, representatives, correspondents, officers, employees and /or any other person, entity in print or electronic media or via internet or otherwise, to remove all the articles already published at the instance of the 1st and 2nd respondents and all such publication or articles or reports or statements specified in the petition schedule which directly or indirectly is about the applicant which is in any manner derogatory or a defamation of the applicant's reputation or which in any manner cause disrepute to the applicant or her work or maligns the applicant or her work in any manner whatsoever. No costs.

Directly dictated to steno-typist, computerized by her, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, on this the 19th day of January 2023.



XI Assistant Judge

Petitioner's side Witnesses & Exhibits : Nil
Respondent side Witnesses & Exhibits : Nil

 19/1/23
XI Assistant Judge



TRUE COPY



CA - 5247/23

IA - 3/22

CITY CIVIL COURT, CHENNAI

O.S. 8047 ... of 20.22

Application made on... 22/22/23

Stamps Called for on... 02/23/23

Stamps deposited on... 02/23/23

Addl. Stamps Called for on... -

Addl. Stamps deposited on... -

Copy made ready on... 03/23/23

Copy delivered on... 03/23/23

S.4
Copyist Superintendent

D.R. Law Chambers